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ABSTRACT

CONTEXT: A change in the epidemiology of pathogens causing serious bacterial infection (SBI) has been noted since original recommendations were made for the empirical antibiotic choices for young infants with fever.

OBJECTIVE: To assess the prevalence of SBI caused by Listeria monocytogenes and Enterococcus species.

DATA SOURCES: A literature search was conducted on keywords related to SBI, L. monocytogenes, and Enterococcus spp. infections.

STUDY SELECTION: Eligible studies were those conducted in the United States and published between January 1998 and June 2014 focusing on SBI in infants ≤90 days of age.

DATA EXTRACTION: The rates of urinary tract infection, bacteremia, and meningitis for each pathogen were recorded for each study. Meta-analysis was performed to calculate the prevalence for each pathogen in a random effects model with 0.5 continuity correction added to studies with zero events.

RESULTS: Sixteen studies were included. A total of 20,703 blood cultures were included, with weighted prevalences for L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp. bacteremia of 0.03% and 0.09%, respectively. A total of 13,775 cerebrospinal fluid cultures were included with event rates (unweighted prevalences) for L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp. meningitis of 0.02% and 0.03%, respectively. A total of 18,283 urine cultures were included, with no cases of L. monocytogenes and a weighted prevalence for Enterococcus spp. urinary tract infection of 0.28%.

LIMITATIONS: There may have been reporting bias or incomplete retrieval or inadvertent exclusion of relevant studies.

CONCLUSIONS: SBI caused by L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp. in febrile infants is rare, and therefore clinicians may consider a change in empirical antibiotic choices.
Fever often prompts evaluation for serious bacterial infection (SBI) in infants, and a subset may be admitted to the hospital and placed on empirical antibiotics while awaiting bacterial culture results. For otherwise healthy infants outside the intensive care setting, empirical antibiotic coverage has classically included the combination of ampicillin and either gentamicin or a third-generation cephalosporin. These regimens were adopted when group B Streptococcus (GBS) was the predominant pathogen causing SBI and Listeria monocytogenes was more commonly encountered as a neonatal pathogen. Since these regimens were initially determined, there have been changes in the epidemiology of pathogens causing SBI in young infants. The most notable changes have been the decline in cases of infections with GBS and L. monocytogenes and a rise in infections caused by Staphylococcus aureus and Enterobacteriaceae. The etiology of these changes is probably multifactorial, with proposed influences being changes in food handling in the United States, the implementation of intrapartum GBS prophylaxis, vaccination for other previously common pathogens such as Haemophilus influenzae, and trends in antibiotic use in the community.

Although changes in the epidemiology of SBI in febrile infants have been demonstrated in several studies, meningitis and bacteremia are rare events, and the epidemiology of infections is often regional. With small sample sizes in previous studies, it has therefore been difficult to recommend a change in the empirical antibiotic choice for febrile infants, and practitioners often continue to include empirical antibiotic coverage for L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp. Stronger evidence supporting a low rate of invasive infections caused by Enterococcus spp. and L. monocytogenes in febrile infants is needed to inform practitioners in choosing empirical antibiotic regimens. This meta-analysis was performed to determine the prevalence of SBI caused by L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp. in otherwise healthy febrile infants ≤90 days of age in the United States.

METHODS

The Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were used in formulating this review.

Search Strategies

The PubMed database was searched by the primary author with guidance from institutional librarians using combinations of the terms “infant,” “fever,” “serious bacterial infection,” “Enterococcus,” “Listeria monocytogenes,” “sepsis,” “urinary tract infection,” “bacteremia,” and “meningitis.” To include recent and relevant epidemiologic data, search limitations included studies published over ~15 years, between January 1998 and June 2014. Additional limitations included studies performed in humans and those published in English. References from studies included in data analysis were reviewed by 3 of the authors for additional relevant citations.

Study Selection

Studies were screened by the primary author through title or abstract review to include studies focusing on the intended population of otherwise healthy febrile infants in the United States. Studies were therefore excluded if performed outside the United States, if there was specific focus on preterm infants, if cultures drawn in the ICU setting or the newborn nursery were included, or there was focus on 1 pathogen or disease process (eg, SBI associated with pneumonia). The remaining studies were then excluded upon abstract or full-text review if the specific data for infants ≤90 days of age were not available, or if pathogens causing SBI or the total number of positive blood, urine, or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) cultures were not included in reported results. For studies that otherwise met inclusion criteria but did not describe specific age group characteristics or culture data in the text, the primary author was contacted and the study was included if the necessary information was subsequently obtained. Study dates, authors, and institutions were used to cross-reference studies for duplicate data sets. For publications with duplicate data sets, only the study with the larger data set was included for analysis. Because the purpose of this review is to evaluate rates of occult infections caused by L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp., only cases of urinary tract infection (UTI), bacteremia, and meningitis were included as SBIs.

Data Collection Process

The primary outcomes for this review were the prevalence rates of UTI, bacteremia, and meningitis caused by L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp. in febrile infants ≤90 days of age. The results from each included study were reviewed, and prevalence rates of UTI, bacteremia, and meningitis caused by each pathogen were recorded.

Study Quality Assessment

Studies were assessed for risk of bias via a 13-item assessment tool developed from recommendations published by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality for observational studies. From the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality question bank, applicable questions were chosen in the domains of Selection, Performance, Attrition, Reporting, and Conflict of Interest. Each study was independently assessed by 3 authors (R.L., B.F., and K.S.) and discussed until consensus was reached for each question.

Data Synthesis and Analysis

Heterogeneity between the studies was assessed via the Cochran Q test and I². Negative values for I² or lower confidence limits were set to 0. Because the included studies had low event rates and zero event rates were common, heterogeneity testing may not be a reliable assessment to determine the most appropriate method for a meta-analysis. In addition, study populations were assumed to differ from each other, and therefore a random effects model was consistently used with the assumption of at least moderate heterogeneity between studies. Meta-analysis was performed to calculate the prevalence for each pathogen. To adjust for the occurrence of zero events, a continuity correction of 0.5 was added to studies with zero events. Weighted prevalence rates (including lower and upper 95% confidence intervals) were calculated for each pathogen.
confidence limits) and the number needed to screen (NNS) to find 1 positive culture caused by *L. monocytogenes* or *Enterococcus* spp. were calculated for each pathogen. The data were analyzed in Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) and SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC).

**RESULTS**

**Search Results**

A flow diagram of search results is shown in Supplemental Fig 4. A total of 3081 studies were identified through initial search methods, and 16 articles were included in the analysis.

**Included Study Characteristics**

Sixteen studies met inclusion criteria. The characteristics of each study and included data are detailed in Tables 1, 2, and 3. Although publication dates were restricted to studies published in the last 15 years, the dates during which the studies were performed vary and are listed in the tables. The included studies are observational, with the majority being retrospective in design. All included studies used a rectal temperature of ≥38.0°C as a criterion for fever. Unless specifically indicated in the tables, the species of *Enterococcus* was not specified in the results for each study. The quality assessment of each included study is detailed in Supplemental Table 4. Although 1 study was deemed low-quality because of the proposed conclusions, the data collection and results were sound and were therefore included in the analysis.

**Meta-analysis**

A total of 20,703 blood cultures were included in the analysis, with a weighted prevalence of bacteremia of 2.68% (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.00%–3.36%). There were 2 cases of *L. monocytogenes* and 22 cases of *Enterococcus* spp. causing bacteremia. The weighted prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* bacteremia was 0.03% (95% CI, 0.00%–0.06%) with an NNS of 3440. The weighted prevalence of *Enterococcus* spp. bacteremia was 0.09% (95% CI, 0.04%–0.14%) with an NNS of 1132. Figures 1 and 2 show the forest plots for bacteremia caused by *Enterococcus* spp. and *L. monocytogenes* with individual study event rates.

A total of 13,775 CSF cultures were included in the analysis, with a weighted prevalence of meningitis of 0.98% (95% CI, 0.48%–1.48%). There were 3 cases of *L. monocytogenes* and 4 cases of *Enterococcus* spp. causing meningitis. The event rates (unweighted prevalences) for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Time Frame</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Age, d</th>
<th>Total Study Subjects</th>
<th>Total Blood Cultures</th>
<th>Total Bacteremia</th>
<th>Total <em>Enterococcus</em></th>
<th>Total <em>Listeria</em></th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bachur 2001</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1993–1999</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤90</td>
<td>5279</td>
<td>4645</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Both E. faecalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker 1999</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1994–1996</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>29–60</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byington 2003</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1999–2002</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤90</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caviness 2008</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2001–2005</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤28</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans 2015</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2007–2011</td>
<td>ED, clinic, inpatient</td>
<td>≤90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2092</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Both E. faecalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhow 2014</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2005–2011</td>
<td>ED, clinic, inpatient</td>
<td>7–90</td>
<td>6232</td>
<td>5636</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herr 2001</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1999–2000</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤60</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Excluded ill-appearing infants from subject group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadish 2000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1993–1996</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤28</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine 2004</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1998–2001</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤60</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maniaci 2007</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2005–2007</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤90</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morley 2012</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2006–2008</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤60</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watt 2010</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1997–2006</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>&lt;90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woelker 2012</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2004–2007</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>2–60</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,703</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED, emergency department; P, prospective; R, retrospective. —, not applicable.
meningitis caused by \textit{L. monocytogenes} and \textit{Enterococcus} spp. were 0.02\% (95\% CI, 0.00\%–0.77\%) and 0.03\% (95\% CI, 0.00\%–0.89\%), respectively. Forest plots, weighted prevalence, and NNS were not generated for meningitis because of an excess of zero events.

A total of 18 283 urine cultures were included in the analysis, with a weighted prevalence of UTI of 9.34\% (95\% CI, 6.93\%–11.75\%). There were no cases of \textit{L. monocytogenes} and 68 cases of \textit{Enterococcus} spp. causing UTI. The weighted prevalence for UTI caused by \textit{Enterococcus} spp. was 0.28\% (95\% CI, 0.18\%–0.38\%), with an NNS of 363. Figure 3 shows the forest plot for UTI caused by \textit{Enterococcus} spp. with individual study event rates.

There were a total of 3 infants with \textit{L. monocytogenes} infections, 2 with bacteremia and meningitis, and 1 with meningitis alone. In the included articles, there were no reported cases of \textit{L. monocytogenes} after 2001. The details for cases of \textit{L. monocytogenes} infections are in Tables 1 and 2.

\textbf{DISCUSSION}

This meta-analysis demonstrates an NNS for bacteremia caused by \textit{L. monocytogenes} of 3440, with reported event rates too low to calculate an NNS for meningitis. In addition, there were no reported infections in the included studies after 2001. Although there are still sporadic outbreaks of \textit{L. monocytogenes} in the United States, this pathogen typically causes infections in neonates subsequent to maternal infection and, when present, is most often noted postmortem after in utero infant demise or

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{l|lll|llll|ll}
\hline
& Type & Time Frame & Setting & Age, d & Total Study Subjects & Total CSF Cultures & Total Meningitis & Total \textit{Enterococcus} & Total \textit{Listeria} & Comments \\
\hline
Bachur 2001 & R & 1993–1999 & ED & \(\leq 90\) & 5279 & 4117 & 17 & 2 & 0 & Both \textit{E. faecium} \\
Byington 2003 & P & 1999–2002 & ED & \(\leq 90\) & 1298 & 1298 & 9 & 0 & 0 & Specific culture information obtained from contacting author \\
Caviness 2008 & R & 2001–2005 & ED & \(\leq 28\) & 960 & 874 & 21 & 1 & 0 & Specific culture information obtained from contacting author \\
Herr 2001 & R & 1999–2000 & ED & \(\leq 60\) & 344 & 344 & 2 & 0 & 0 & Excluded ill-appearing infants from subject group \\
Maniaci 2007 & P & 2005–2007 & ED & \(\leq 90\) & 234 & 234 & 0 & 0 & 0 & — \\
Morley 2012 & R & 2006–2008 & ED & \(\leq 60\) & 207 & 130 & 1 & 0 & 0 & — \\
\hline
Totals & & & & & & & & 13 775 & 112 & 4 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Study Details for Meningitis}
\end{table}
in infants delivered prematurely because of maternal infection. As with other rare diseases, clinicians may ask screening questions to determine a patient’s risk for infections with L. monocytogenes and consider empirical therapy in certain populations such as premature infants and those exposed to high-risk foods or travel.

Invasive infections caused by Enterococcus spp. are also rare, with an unweighted prevalence of meningitis of 0.02% and weighted prevalence for bacteremia of only 0.09%. The NNS to identify 1 case of bacteremia caused by Enterococcus spp. was 1132, and reported event rates were too low to calculate an NNS for meningitis. The majority of infections with Enterococcus spp. are found in the urinary tract. Although the weighted prevalence of enterococcal UTI was 0.28%, it should be noted that the definition of UTI used in several of the included studies may overestimate the prevalence of UTI given the currently accepted definition described in the
American Academy of Pediatrics UTI guidelines. In particular, the studies contributing the highest number of cases in this analysis of Enterococcus spp. causing UTI defined a positive culture as a catheterized specimen with >10,000 colony-forming units (CFUs) of bacterial growth. The decrease in infections caused by L. monocytogenes is specific to the United States, whereas other countries continue to report infection in neonates. In 1987, the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the US Department of Agriculture instituted a zero-tolerance policy prohibiting the sale of ready-to-eat foods contaminated with L. monocytogenes, and the policy has been strengthened with each outbreak identified since that time. There have also been public education campaigns targeting pregnant women and populations with a higher than expected consumption of at-risk foods. It has been demonstrated that the rate of SBI in ill-appearing infants is collectively higher than in well-appearing infants, so clinicians should consider broader empirical antibiotic coverage for this population.

Infections with Enterococcus spp. occur most often in patients with anatomic defects or indwelling catheters or in the hospital setting. Because multiple studies have demonstrated the low rate of progression from UTI to bacteremia or meningitis and a low rate of adverse events from UTI when infants are well appearing, immediate coverage for this pathogen may not be needed. In addition, antibiotic therapy is often changed once a pathogen is identified, and hospitalized patients are under close observation with the ability to broaden antibiotic coverage if the patient shows clinical decline or an SBI is definitively identified. If there is high suspicion for UTI in an ill-appearing patient or the infant has a known urologic defect, practitioners may consider screening with enhanced urinalysis and broadening the empirical antibiotic regimen while awaiting culture results.

This meta-analysis excluded studies performed in ICU settings and in infants with defined sites of infection; therefore the data from this study do not necessarily apply to ill-appearing infants or those with a high likelihood of focal infection such as meningitis or osteomyelitis. It has been demonstrated that the rate of SBI in ill-appearing infants is collectively higher than in well-appearing infants, so clinicians should consider broader empirical antibiotic coverage for this population.

Only studies performed in the United States were included in this meta-analysis, because the epidemiology of pathogens is expected to vary between countries along with differences in environmental factors such as food preparation and sales regulations. Studies published >15 years before the review were excluded to ensure that only recent epidemiologic reports were included. Other causes of SBI such as gastroenteritis and osteomyelitis were not included in the reported results because they are unlikely sites for infection with L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp., and these patients would not be the targeted population for more narrow empirical antibiotic therapy. In addition, this review excluded studies performed in the NICU and those with medical hardware in place, because these populations are at greater risk for SBI caused by L. monocytogenes and Enterococcus spp., and it is imperative that each practitioner be familiar with his or her own region’s bacterial epidemiology and resistance patterns to appropriately choose empirical antibiotic coverage.

Limitations and Bias

Although efforts were taken to include all relevant studies, there is a possibility of incomplete retrieval of relevant studies or...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Time Frame</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Age, d</th>
<th>Total Study</th>
<th>Total Urine Cultures</th>
<th>Total UTI</th>
<th>Total Enterococcus</th>
<th>Total Listeria</th>
<th>UTI Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bachur 2001</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1993–1999</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤90</td>
<td>5279</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥1000 CFUs or ≥10 000 cath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker and Bell</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1994–1996</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤28</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥1000 CFUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker 1999</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1994–1996</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>29–60</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥1000 CFUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byington 2003</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1998–2002</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤30</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥100 000 CFUs or ≥50 000 CFUs and positive UA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caviness 2008</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2001–2005</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤28</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥10 000 CFUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans 2013</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2007–2011</td>
<td>ED, inpatient</td>
<td>≤90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>As determined by treating physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhow 2014</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2005–2011</td>
<td>ED, inpatient</td>
<td>7–90</td>
<td>6232</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In 7- to 90-d-old ≥10 000 CFUs in 61- to 90-d-old pyuria and ≥50 000 CFUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herr 2001</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1998–2000</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤80</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥50 000 CFUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadish 2000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1993–1996</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤28</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥50 000 CFUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine 2004</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1998–2001</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤80</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥1000 CFUs SPA, ≥50 000 cath, or ≥10 000 cath and positive UA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maniaci 2007</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2005–2007</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤80</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥50 000 cath or ≥10 000 cath and positive UA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morley 2012</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2006–2008</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>≤80</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥10 000 CFUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newman 2002</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1995–1998</td>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>≤80</td>
<td>3066</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥100 CFUs SPA, ≥20 000 cath or ≥100 000 clean catch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watt 2010</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1997–2006</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥100 000 CFUs or ≥10 000 CFUs and positive UA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woelker 2012</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2004–2007</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>2–60</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>≥50 000 cath or ≥10 000 cath and positive UA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 283</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cath, catheter; ED, emergency department; P, prospective; R, retrospective; SPA, suprapubic aspiration; UA, urinalysis. —, not applicable.
CONCLUSIONS

This meta-analysis demonstrates that serious bacterial infections caused by *L. monocytogenes* and *Enterococcus* spp. are rare in febrile infants ≤90 days of age outside the ICU setting, suggesting that an update in the empirical antibiotic regimen chosen for febrile infants admitted to the hospital during evaluation for SBI may be warranted. A longitudinal, multicenter study focusing on the epidemiology of SBI in febrile infants would provide additional evidence to support a change in recommended empirical antibiotic regimens.
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